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Basic finding

The mass of the quarks in any generation and its associated lepton (-e) are related by the geometric mean:

\[ M_d = \sqrt{M_u \times M_e} \]

Also: the mass of any consecutive quarks of the same type (up like or down like) are related by the geometric mean.

\[ M_{x1} = \sqrt{M_{x-1} \times M_{x+1}} \]

Where X = u, d, or electron family
The Tau and the electron fall on this geometric line, which has a slope of “e” (2.7183…) to better than one part in 10,000 which is the known accuracy of the Tau mass.
All three sub-nuclear particles in any generation (vertical column) are related to each other by the geometric mean.

Any three adjacent particles along a family line are also related to each other by the geometric mean.

These two quarks differ by 4 MeV which is very important to the theory.

This disagrees with the current accepted value of the top quark. 172 GeV namely (10,300 – 10,500 MeV)

These two values were calculated based upon the Checkerboard model (and potential explanation of dark energy)
These three particles agree exactly with the standard model (the muon, tau and the bottom quark)

In 1983-84 CERN found evidence for a top quark (t b) between 30 to 50 MeV. Could this have been (tB')? G. Arnison Physics Letters, Vol 147B Nov 15th 1984.

These values agree with the CONSITUENT mass model as opposed to the “free” mass model (e.g. current algebra value)


Opening for a “Simpson” like neutrino see Flam, F. :Science 257, 1044 (1992) (or Sterile neutrino)
Misc particles

The mass of two T’ quarks plus one B’ (baryon) would = 172.4 GeV

The mass of one T’ and one anti T’ (meson) would = 130 GeV

The mass of one T’ and one anti B’ (meson) would = 107.4 GeV

The mass of one B’ and one anti B’ (meson) would = 84 GeV

The mass difference between the Z and W boson is = 10.3 GeV (std model), Which is what I believe is the mass of the top quark.
Fermilab Today March 6th 2006 “Particles called B mesons are composed of an anti bottom quark and a second quark of different types. When the second is light such as an up, down or strange quark – the two particle system behaves somewhat like an atom with the heavier quark playing the role of the nucleus at the atom’s center, and the lighter quark (up, down, or strange) the role of the electron orbiting the nucleus.” …. “Analysis of the 1 fb dataset has also led to the first direct observation of a strange quark spinning around an anti b in an orbitally excited state, a composite state know as Bs ** (appearing as a bump in the second graphic below)”
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Assumptions:
The structure of the nucleus must be simple

Only the two quarks with like charge rotate in nucleons

Same frequency of rotation for proton and neutron

Spherical shape of nucleus is an apparent shape due to a flat structure viewed from all possible angles.
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Requirements:
The structure must agree with the stability of known nuclei. The Nucleus must have a periodic structure to explain the “fuzzy” diffraction patterns. A nucleus may have more than one structure (isomer). The structure must be able to logically explain alpha, beta, and gamma decay.
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MAGNETIC MOMENTS: (given)

Proton = \(1.41060761(47) \times 10^{-26}\) Joules/Tesla
2.7928473(37) ± 29 Bohr Magnetons (PDG 2000)

Neutron = -\(0.96623707(40) \times 10^{-26}\) Joules/Tesla
- 1.913042(7) ± 5 Bohr Magnetons (PDG 2000)
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MASS: * = PDG 2000

Proton = 1836.15(3) mass electrons
1.672623 \times 10^{-27} \text{ Kg} *
938.327200(0) \pm 4 \text{ MeV} *
1.007276466(88) \pm 13 \text{ AMU} *

Neutron = 1838.683(7) mass electrons
1.6749286 \times 10^{-27} \text{ Kg} *
939.5653(3) \pm 4 \text{ MeV} *
1.008664915(78) \pm 55 \text{ AMU} *

Electron = 9.1093897(54) \times 10^{-31} \text{ Kg}
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Given:

CONSTANTS:
- Electron/Proton charge = $1.602095 \times 10^{-19}$ coulombs
- Speed of light “c” = $2.997925$ meters / sec
- Plank’s constant “h” = $6.62618 \times 10^{-34}$ J s

EQUATIONS:
- $\mu = I(A) = ef (\pi r^2) = \frac{1}{2} ( e v r)$  Magnetic Moment
- $m_v = m_o (1 - v^2/c^2)^{-1/2}$  Einstein
- $\lambda_v = h / m_v v$  DeBroglie
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Basic Principle
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Basic Principle
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Two examples of nuclear mirror nuclei (eg. exchange the protons with neutrons you get the other nuclei)

- He
  - Protons: 2
  - Neutrons: 1
- H
  - Protons: 1
  - Neutrons: 1

- Be
  - Protons: 4
  - Neutrons: 3
- Li
  - Protons: 3
  - Neutrons: 4

The energy spectrum of the mirror nuclei are the same.
Only three stable forms of the Carbon nucleus (notice how the two extra neutrons “fit” nicely into the pattern).
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Two stable isomers of Oxygen 16.

The Nuclear Cluster Model, which predicts linear structures such as Oxygen 16 and Mg 24 is described in the Handbook of Nuclear Properties, D.N. Peonaru and W. Greiner pg 103. Evidence for linear Mg 24 was published by Wuosmaa et.al Phys. Rev. Lett., 68, 1295, 1992.
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- Only stable forms of odd proton-odd neutron nuclei.

- Proton

- Neutron
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Only Stable structures from Oxygen (16, 17, 18).

- 16\(^{16}\)O (99.76%)
- 17\(^{17}\)O (0.038%)
- 18\(^{18}\)O (0.20%)

2- Alpha Particles

- Neutron
- Proton

19\(^{19}\)F (100%)
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- Neutrons: spin down, n; spin up, u
- Protons: spin down, d; spin up, p
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Stable structures of Neon, 5 alpha particles

- Spin = 0
  - 20 Ne 10
  - 90.48%

- Spin = 3/2+
  - 21 Ne 10
  - 0.27%

- Spin = 0
  - 22 Ne 10
  - 9.25%

Neon 22 has 8 additional (non structural) neutron sites that could be populated, resulting in Ne 30. To date the heaviest isotope of Ne that has been found is Ne 29 with a half life of .2 seconds.
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Most abundant form of Ca, 96.94%. **Double mirror symmetry.** Double Magic number Nuclei.
The Structure of the Nucleus

These 4 nuclear structures all follow the same pattern / symmetry. They all have double mirror symmetry. Two of these structures are double magic. The other two have very high abundance's.
These 2 nuclear structures all have double mirror symmetry and are key nuclei for their abundance and stability.
Starting with Ca 48 and filling six additional non-structural neutron sites gives Ca 54.
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- Alpha Particles
- Neutron
- Proton

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>Mass</th>
<th>Charge</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- 2- Alpha Particles
- non-structural neutron
- Neutron
- Proton

- 65 msec
- 20 msec
- 100 msec
- 680 ms
- 42 ms
- 86 ms
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Most abundant form of Fe, 91.75%. Double mirror symmetry. Fe 56 has the highest binding energy (pre nucleon) of any nucleus and in this theory (CBM) has the highest percentage of 4 nearest neighbor bonds.
The Structure of the Nucleus

Different Forms of stable Iron nuclei

- 54 Fe 5.84%
- 56 Fe 91.75%
- 58 Fe 0.282%

Half life = 2.62 \times 10^6 \text{ years}
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- Alpha Particles
- Neutron
- Proton
- non-structural neutron

$^{68}_{26}$Fe

half life = 180 ms

$^{69}_{26}$Fe

half life = 110 ms

2 H

2- Alpha Particles

non-structural neutron

Neutron

Proton
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- Neutron
- Proton

- Ni: 58 (28 neutrons, 28 protons)
- Cr: 52 (24 neutrons, 28 protons)
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- Alpha Particles
- Neutron
- Proton

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mass Number</th>
<th>Charge</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>70 Ge</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>20.57%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72 Ge</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>27.45%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>74 Ge</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>36.50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>76 Ge</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>7.73%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>84 Ge</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>0.954 sec</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Sn 120 is the most abundant form of Sn. Subtract two non structural neutrons and you get Sn 118, 24.22% abundance, Subtract two more non structural neutrons and you get Sn 116, abundance 14.54%, subtract the last two non structural neutrons = Sn 114, abundance .66%
Sn 124 is the last stable nuclei of Sn (without the 4 non-structural neutrons). This structure has 4 more sites for non-structural neutron (yellow) sites so that nuclei up to Sn 128 can be explained. (structures larger than Fe are required to stretch out to minimize the mutual repulsion of the protons) also to be able to account for the extra neutron positions of known nuclei.
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- Neutron
- Proton
- Non Structural Neutron
- 2- Alpha Particles

138
Xe
54
14.08 min
Gd 164 is one of the heaviest unstable isotope of Gd. 32 Alpha structures, plus 30 additional structural neutrons and six non-structural neutrons. If you remove the 6 non-structural neutrons from Gd 164, you get Gd 158, the most abundant and stable form of Gd. Erbium (168 and 174) has similar structure and logic.
Note: This structure does not agree with the “currently accepted” size of Pb 208. This structure is about 3X as large as currently accepted. This structure better explains the large quadrupole moments for nuclei over 50\(A\) > 90. If we drop the single bonded neutrons (2 at a time) we get Pb 206 and Pb 204, both stable nuclei.
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- 20 C (14 ms)
- 23 N (14.5 ms)
- 32 Ne (3.5 ms)
- 35 Na (1.5 ms)

O 26, F 29 (2.5 ms), Mg 38, Si 43, P46, also fits this pattern.
He 6, He 8, Li 11, Be 12 and Be 14 are known as the Halo Nuclei, it is known that two loosely bound neutrons are tied to the core of the nuclei. In these nuclei, the mass and charge radii may differ by large amounts. The density distribution shows an extended neutron tail at low density. Li 11 extends farther out than current models can explain. B 17, also believed to be a halo nuclei, fits this pattern.

Reference: D.N. Poenaru and W. Greiner pg 139. and proceedings of ENAM 98.
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Halo Nuclei

8
He
2
119 ms

2.7 fm

11
Li
3
8.75 ms
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Semi stable structures along the proton drip line

\[ \text{half life} = 53.24 \text{ days} \]

\[ \text{half life} = 19.308 \text{ seconds} \]

\[ \text{half life} = 8.58 \text{ ms} \]

Ne 16 and Mg 19 exists and fit this pattern, their half lives “should” be between 9-30 ms.
Very unstable structures that decay by double proton emission

Be

C

O

Half life $5.0 \times 10^{-21}$ sec

Half life $1.0 \times 10^{-21}$ sec

Half life $\sim 2.0 \times 10^{-21}$ sec
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He\(_2\) is the last known (2011) isotope of Boron.

\[ \text{half life} \approx \frac{1}{10^{21}} \text{sec} \]

\[ \text{half life} = ?? \]

Predictions: Hydrogen 6 will be found to be an unbound nuclei.

He 10 does not have a bound state.

\[ \text{half life} = ?? \]

B21 is the last known (2011) isotope of Boron.
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Ni\textsuperscript{76} has a half life of 238 ms. Ni\textsuperscript{78} half life of 110 ms.

Mg\textsuperscript{34} is known to have a half life of 20 ms. Mg\textsuperscript{36} half life of 3.6 ms. Mg\textsuperscript{38} found but no half life yet.

Ni\textsuperscript{76} has a half life of 238 ms. Ni\textsuperscript{78} half life of 110 ms.
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\[ \mu = I(A) = (e_q f) \pi r^2 = 1/2 (e_q v_q r) \]

\[ \mu_{\text{proton}} = I(A) = 2(2/3)f \pi r_p^2 \]

\[ \mu_{\text{neutron}} = I(A) = 2(-1/3)f \pi r_n^2 \]

Since this model assumes the frequency of rotation of the proton and neutron are the same, we get.

\[ \frac{\mu_{\text{proton}}}{\mu_{\text{neutron}}} = -2 \left( \frac{r_p}{r_n} \right)^2 \]

\[ \frac{r_n}{r_p} = \{2(.96623707)/1.41060761\}^{1/2} \]

\[ r_n = 1.1704523 \ r_p \]

Therefore the velocity of the dn quark in the neutron is 1.17045 the velocity of the up quark in the proton.
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It is “generally accepted” that the Binding energy difference between the mirror nuclei of $^3$He and $^3$H is due to Coulomb repulsion between the two protons. Blatt, Weisskoff, Theoretical Nuclear Physics, 52, p 204

\[
\begin{align*}
BE(\,^3\text{H}) &= \text{mass}(\,^3\text{H}) - m_e - m_p - 2m_n = 8.48183 \text{ Mev} \\
BE(\,^3\text{He}) &= \text{mass}(\,^3\text{He}) - 2m_e - 2m_p - m_n = 7.71809 \text{ Mev} \\
BE(\,^3\text{H}) - BE(\,^3\text{He}) &= .76374 \text{ Mev} \\
\text{Coulomb energy in } ^3\text{He} &= \Delta BE = .76374 \text{ Mev} = 6e^2/5R_c
\end{align*}
\]

$R_c = \text{separation distance between the two protons in } ^3\text{He.}$ $R_c = 2.262 \text{ fm}$. If we assume the structure is linear, and the neutron is between the two protons and 1.1704523 times larger, we get $r_p = .5211 \text{ fm}$ and $r_n = .6099 \text{ fm}$.
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\[ \mu_{\text{proton}} \equiv \frac{2e_{\text{quark}} \cdot \nu_{\text{up, quarks}} \cdot r_{\text{proton}}}{2} \]

\[ \nu_{\text{up, quarks}} \equiv \frac{2\mu_{\text{proton}}}{2e_{\text{up, quark}} \cdot r_{\text{proton}}} \]

\[ \nu_{\text{up, quarks}} \equiv \frac{2(1.41060761 \times 10^{-26} \text{ J} / \text{T})}{2(2 / 3)(1.602095^{-19} \text{ C}) \cdot 0.5211 \text{ fm}} \]

\[ \nu_{\text{up, quark, in, proton}} \equiv 2.53447 \times 10^8 \text{ m/s} \equiv 0.8454c \]

\[ \nu_{\text{dn, quarks, in, neutron}} \equiv 1.1704523 \cdot \nu_{\text{up, quarks}} \equiv 0.9895c \]
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Plugging $v_{up}=0.8454c$ and $v_{dn}=0.9895c$ into the above 2 equations, we get two equations with two unknowns (mass of up and dn quarks). This gives a mass of the up quark of 463.8 mass electrons and the dn quark of 99 mass electrons. This results in the up quark (orbiting inside the proton) having a DeBroglie wavelength of 3.30 fm, which when divided by $2\pi$ corresponds to a radius of .526 fm, which is only 1\%
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Iterating until the DeBroglie wavelength of the up quark in the proton exactly matches the radius of the proton we get:

Proton = $0.519406 \times 10^{-15}$ meters
Neutron = $0.6079394 \times 10^{-15}$ meters

Velocity:
- 2 - up Quarks in Proton = 0.848123 speed of light
- 2 - dn Quarks in Neutron = 0.992685 speed of light

Mass:
- up Quark mass = 237.31 MeV
- dn Quark mass = 42.39 MeV

The sum of the mass of the calculated up and dn quark meeting at the perimeter of the helium nucleus between the neutron and the proton adds up to 279.7 MeV, which is almost exactly the mass of two pi mesons ($139.6 \text{ MeV} \times 2 = 279.2 \text{ MeV}$). Since the nuclear force as been explained as the exchange of TWO pi mesons, this may also have some significance in supporting this theory.

Period of Revolution = $1.283533 \times 10^{-23}$ seconds
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Unit cell size = 1.12735 fm
“diameter” of He nucleus = 2.255 fm

Additionally the shape of the proton is now up for debate. Some say that it is shaped like a donut. Work at Jefferson labs in 2006 has indicated that the shape of the proton is oblate and that the quarks are moving inside the proton at 90% the speed of light in improved agreement with this theory. If the shape is oblate or donut shape then the discussion of size is moot.

Note: there is a lot of debate about the size of the proton. Electron scattering indicates a size of 0.8768 fm, but a new method (muon energy level shifting) in 2009 determined it to be 0.84087 4% smaller and significantly different than previously though.

This model predicts a size and charge distribution of the neutron that agrees with electron scattering, pg 687 Modern Atomic and Nuclear Physics, F. Yang and J.H. Hamilton, 1996 McGraw Hill. (see text)
This model predicts a size of the proton that agrees with many nuclear physics texts, namely 0.45 fm to 0.65 fm. Note that the linear extrapolation of the 3D model predicts a size of 1.23 fm for the proton, which is too big.

Quote from that text: "The neutron charge distribution with an inner positive charge and outer layer of negative charge is consistent with its negative magnetic moment" 
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Notice that the above diamond structures give consecutive magic numbers. The Sn structure is stable because square structures of Sn are stable, on the other hand the above structure of Pb is not as stable. Since this model has derived the size of a Helium nucleus as 2.255 fm this can be used to calculate the size of the above structures, and good agreement is found with established values.
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Notice that the above structures of O 16, Ca 40, and Fe 56 give good agreement to the accepted size of these structures. This model has derived the size of a Helium nucleus as 2.255 fm and this can be used to compare against the accepted size of these nuclei.

\[
r = r_0 \frac{A}{3} = 1.23 \frac{A}{3} = 3.10 \text{ fm}
\]

\[
r = r_0 \frac{A}{3} = 4.21 \text{ fm or } 0.128 \text{ n/fm}^3
\]

\[
r = r_0 \frac{A}{3} = 4.71 \text{ fm}
\]
Gamma emission is represented by a shift of one proton around an adjacent neutron position (depicted above) to a lower energy state. Beta (-) emission is the shift of one neutron one lattice position (into a proton position) and the emission of an electron (not shown above).
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What reasons can account for the different structures above and below Pb?

If the Uranium nuclei are really linear, then they are shorter by a factor of the square root of 2 than they would be if they were diagonal. This would mean that the structure has extra stored up potential energy in the form of repulsion of all those protons. Because the structure has just as many bonds (4) at any point along the structure, it should be just as strong a structure as Pb, just that it contain that potential energy of all the repelling protons. Therefore it is like a compressed spring waiting for an alpha decay to make the structure unstable resulting in it expanding to the diagonal size of the Pb nuclei. It would be expected that this would explain the back bending the of $I \times \omega$ for the yrast states of Dy 156 and other such nuclei. Also it would explain why each emission is the same in energy and why the number of steps in these spectrum is approximately the same number as the number of steps in this model.
The mass difference between the Phi (1020) meson and the W (782) meson is 237.47 ± 0.13 MeV based upon the previous data from the Particle Data Group. What is interesting is that this difference is almost exactly that of the predicted mass of the up quark in the CBM model.

237.31 MeV

Why these two mesons are significant is that since they are the lowest excited state of the Pi meson, we know their masses better than the higher excited states and we know that all the quantum numbers of both of these mesons are the same and they are composed only of up and down quarks. It must be more than just coincidence that this mass difference is in agreement with this models mass of the up quark.
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Binding Energy Per Nucleon

Most abundant isotope

BE/nucleon = %1 bond (1.122) + %2 bonds (7.074) + %3 bonds (8.13) + %4 bonds (9.53) MEV
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The CBM Model of the Nucleus Explains

- Central Force term (center stationary quarks) and the Stronger Tensor Term (orbiting quarks causing the magnetic coupling in and out of the plane of the structure).
- Accounts very well for the stability of the He 4 nucleus (as the basis for all others)
- Why the volume of the nucleus is mostly empty (flat structure)
- Why the force is repulsive at \( r < 0.5 \) fm (size of the proton, incompressible)
- Saturation of the binding energy at Fe 56 (highest % of 4 nearest neighbors)
- Explains the exact magnetic moments of the nucleons, especially the neutron
- Relativistic mass of the up and dn quarks in the nucleon account for the mass (p,n)
- Why the nucleus can have only half integer spins (flat; up or down)
- The size of key “square / diamond” nuclei agree well with accepted values (not Pb 208)
- Explains Gamma and Beta emission as nucleon lattice movement
- Does a good job of explaining most of the Magic numbers (4,8,50,82)
- Thermal neutrons react with nuclei (better able to align / attach to flat structure)
- Explains the linear alpha chains recently discovered such as Mg 24
- Explains the large Electronic Quadrupole Moments of rare earth and actinides
- Why there is a neutron skin of about 0.1 fm on many nuclei (see structures)
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